## Exercise Sheet 11 due 29 January 2015

## 1. Non-degenerate perturbation theory

Consider an electron in a one-dimensional potential well of width  $L_z$ , with infinitely high barriers at z=0 and  $z=L_z$ . The potential energy inside the potential well is parabolic, of the form  $V(z)=u(z-L_z/2)^2$ , where u is a real constant. This potential is presumed to be small compared to the energy  $E_1$  of the first confined state of a simple rectangular potential well of the same width  $L_z$ .

Find an approximate expression, valid in the limit of small u, for the energy difference between the lowest and first excited states of this well in terms of u,  $L_z$ , and fundamental constants.

## 2. Wavefunction in perturbation theory

Consider the perturbation of a non-degenerate state  $|n^{(0)}\rangle$ . To second order in the perturbation, the perturbed wave function is given by  $|n\rangle = |n^{(0)}\rangle + \lambda |n^{(1)}\rangle + \lambda^2 |n^{(2)}\rangle + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3)$ . Calculate the energy expectation value  $\langle n|\hat{H}_0 + \lambda \hat{H}_1|n\rangle$  to second order in  $\lambda$ . Compare to the expression  $E_n^{(0)} + \lambda E_n^{(1)} + \lambda^2 E_n^{(2)}$  derived in the lecture. Where does the discrepancy come from? How is it resolved?

Hint: What is the norm of  $|n\rangle$  (to second order)?

## 3. Degenerate perturbation theory

Consider a cubic quantum box for confining an electron. The cube has length L on all three sides, with edges along the x, y, and z directions, and the walls of the box are presumed to correspond to infinitely high potential barriers. We assume that (x, y, z) = (0,0,0) is the point in the *center* of the box.

- i. Write down the normalized wavefunctions for the ground state and the first three degenerate excited states for an electron in this box.
- ii. Now presume that there is a perturbation  $\hat{H}_1 = eFz$  applied (e.g., from an electric field F in the z direction). How does the energy of the ground-state and of the three-fold degenerate excited state change as a result of this perturbation, according to first-order degenerate perturbation theory?
- iii. Now presume that a perturbation  $\hat{H}_1 = \alpha z^2$  is applied instead. (Such a perturbation could result, e.g., from a uniform fixed background charge density in the box.) Using first-order degenerate perturbation theory, what are the new eigenstates and eigenenergies arising from the three originally degenerate states?

$$\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \theta^2 \cos^2 \theta \ d\theta = \pi^3/24 - \pi/4 \ \text{and} \ \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \theta^2 \sin^2 2\theta \ d\theta = \pi^3/24 - \pi/16$$